

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D. C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | · FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/075,682 | 02/14/2002 | Laurent Alain Michel Fenouil | TH1837 (US) YI:EM | 2681 |
| 7: | 590 04/04/2003 | | | |
| Yukiko Iwata | | | EXAMINER | |
| Shell Oil Company Legal - Intellectual Property P.O. Box 2463 Houston, TX 77252-2463 | | | SAEED, KAMAL A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 110451011, 171 | 77232 2103 | | 1626 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003 | þ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany | 10/075,682 | FENOUIL ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kamal A Saeed | 1626 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u>_</u> , | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-104 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-104 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| <u></u> | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received | | | | | |
| _ | • | an No | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal P | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 1-104 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-76, are drawn to a method of using olefins for making a surfactant,
 variously classified in classes 510, 585, and several subclasses.
- II. Claims 77-88, are drawn to a method of preparing branched alcohol sulfate of compounds and compositions of Formula I, depicted in claim 7, variously classified in classes 558, and several subclasses.
- III. Claims 89-96, are drawn to a compositions comprising sulfates, having the general formula, depicted in claim 89, variously classified in classes 558, and several subclasses.
- IV. Claims 97 and 104, are drawn to branched olefin composition variously classified in class 585, and several subclasses.
- Claims 98-100, are drawn to a process of preparing branched olefin classified in class 585, and several subclasses.
- VI. Claim 101, is drawn to branched alcohol composition classified in classes 568 and several subclasses.
- VII. Claim 102, is drawn to a surfactant, classified in classes 510, and several subclasses.
- VIII. Claim 103, is drawn to isoparaffinic composition, classified in class 585, and several subclasses.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1626

Invention Groups VI and I are related as product and process of making thereof. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process as claimed can be used to make another materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another materially different process (MPEP 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another materially different process as is clearly evident from the examples pages 28-30. Therefore a separate search considerations are involved, which would impose a burden if unrestricted.

Invention Groups I, II and V, are directed to processes of preparing distinct compositions. of compounds. Each requiring different staring materials, modes and conditions.

Invention Groups III, IV and VI, are directed to compositions of compounds of considerable structural dissimilarity and are classified in different classes and subclasses. Each group can support its own patent, as the compositions of each group are capable of being utilized alone and not in combination with other groups.

Invention Groups VII and VIII are directed to distinct products of considerable structural dissimilarity and are classified in different classes and subclasses. Each group can support its own patent, as the product of each group are capable of being utilized alone and not in combination with other groups.

Accordingly, along with the election of one of the above groups, the following action is also taken. Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising, for example, the process of making anionic surfactant, cationic surfactant, nonionic surfactant etc.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Art Unit: 1626

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Upon the election of a single disclosed species (e.g. Example, page number and structural depiction), a generic concept, inclusive of the elected species, will be identified by the Examiner for examination. Moreover, whatever specific compound is ultimately elected, applicants are required to list all claims readable thereon.

In accordance with M.P.E.P. 821.04 and In re Ochiai, 71 F.3d 1565, 37 USPQ 1127 (Fed. Cir. 1995), rejoinder of product claims with method of preparation claims commensurate in scope with the allowed product claims will occur following a finding that the product claims are allowable. Until such time, a restriction between product claims and process is deemed proper. Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised the process of use are amended during prosecution to maintain either

Art Unit: 1626

dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include limitations of the product claims.

Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamal Saeed whose telephone number is (703) 308-4592. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM – 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (703) 308 4537. The unofficial fax phone for this group are (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Communication via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signiture, may be used by applicant and should be addressed to [joseph.mckane@uspto.gov]. All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees will not communicate with applicant via Internet e-mail where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is of record an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the applicant. See the Interim Internet Usage Policy published by the Patent and Trademark Office Official Gazette on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Art Unit: 1626

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2286.

Kamal Saeed, Ph.D March 31, 2003

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1620

Technology Center 1